Please use this form to provide comments on the Notice of Proposed Amendments for the MUTCD.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Add your name or organization name where indicted in the footer of this form.
2. Use Table 1 to provide your original comments.
3. Use Table 2 to indicate your agreement with a comment that another commenter has submitted to the docket.
4. Do not adjust formatting of the rows and columns; text will automatically wrap and expand the row height as you type.
5. To add rows to this form, use the “Insert Rows” function, or hover just outside the left edge of the row below which you would like to add a row and click the encircled “+” that appears.
6. If you choose to provide a letter to accompany this comment form, please **print the document as a PDF**; **please do not scan a hard copy**. This will assist FHWA with cataloging your comments.

**TABLE 1. ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON PROPOSED CHANGES.** Please indicate the applicable proposed Section numbers in the far-left column. In the next three columns, please indicate your agreement, disagreement, or whether the column is applicable to your response by placing a, “YES,” “NO,” or “N/A” in the appropriate column of the row. If you agree with a proposed change, then there is no need to fill out the additional columns beyond the first two. However, it can be helpful to explain why you agree with a proposed change based on your objective experience as a roadway operator and/or empirical data. If you disagree in part or in whole, then please provide additional information that FHWA may find helpful.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Proposed  Section Number(s) | Agree with concept and text as proposed | Agree with concept; suggested rewording of text in Comments | Disagree with concept | Comments  *Please include justification for your position based on objective experience and empirical data. If there is a specific statement with which you take exception, please provide the Page and Line numbers from the mark-up version of the proposed MUTCD text.* |
| All |  |  |  | See other file submitted to docket which shows detailed recommended changes to the draft MUTCD text. |
| 8B.01 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.01 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.02 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.02 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.03 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.03 as presented in the NPA. |
| Table 8B-1 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Table 8B-1 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 8B-1 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 8B-1 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 8B-2 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 8B-2 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 8B-3 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Figure 8B-3 as presented in the NPA, but recommends changes to add the 6 foot lateral offset dimension to be consistent with Figure 2A-2 and delete the word “optional” for the retroreflective strip because the strip is only optional when the Stop or Yield is mounted on a separate post. |
| 8B.04 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends changes to Guidance statements in 8B.04 to delete “engineering study” and add references to the Diagnostic Team because the Diagnostic Team should determine whether STOP or YIELD signs are appropriate at passive grade crossings and at T-intersections with inadequate clear storage distance. NCUTCD also recommends changes to the Standard statement to delete the requirement for a YIELD sign on the approach to a passive grade crossing at a highway-highway intersection controlled by a traffic signal because the Diagnostic Team should make the determination of the appropriate traffic control devices. |
| 8B.05 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.05 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.06 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.06 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 8B-4. | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 8B-4 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.07 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.07 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.08 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.08 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.09 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.09 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.10 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.10 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.11 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.11 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.12 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.12 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.13 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.13 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.14 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.14 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.15 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.15 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.16 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 8B.16 as presented in the NPA, but recommends a change to delete the Standard statement that requires the LOW GROUND CLEARANCE educational plaque remain in place for 3 years because the Option statement in Section 2A.09 allows educational plaques to be left in place as long as they are in serviceable condition. NCUTCD also recommends changes to convert the Guidance statement about word message warning signs and selective exclusion regulatory signs to an Option Statement because road authorities should have flexibility to determine which types of vehicles need to be addressed at a grade crossing. |
| 8B.17 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.17 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.18 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.18 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.19 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.19 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.20 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.20 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.21 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.21 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.22 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.22 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.23 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.23 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.24 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.24 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.25 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.25 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8B.26 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8B.26 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 8B-5 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 8B-5 as presented in the NPA. |

**TABLE 2. AGREE WITH ANOTHER COMMENTER.** If you agree with another commenter, please indicate the commenter with whom you agree with and note any additional information FHWA may find helpful or any exceptions.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Docket Comment Number and/or Commenter Name | Agree with commenter’s comments as written | Agree with commenter; with exception(s) | Additional information helpful to FHWA, or exceptions to commenter’s comments |
| (**EXAMPLE**)  FHWA-2020-0001-59 | YES | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |